

1 **Supplementary Text 1A. Structural differences between MD simulations.**

2 The C α RMSD data from **Table S2A** for full-length recombinant AdcA in the metal-bound states
3 shows that the average C α RMSD from two runs (runs 4,5) differ from the other runs, suggesting that
4 in these runs different protein conformations are sampled. However, further analysis of the AdcA_N
5 domain only (residues 1 to 306) or AdcA_C only (residues 314 to 502) without the loop that connects
6 the AdcA_N and AdcA_C domains (**Table S2A**) reveals that variation of the average C α RMSD values
7 between the runs of the metal-bound state is reduced, indicating that the difference most likely arises
8 from the linker region. This was further confirmed by clustering analysis showing that differences
9 between the two sets of trajectories lie in the motion of the linking loop and not from conformations
10 sampled by the AdcA_C or AdcA_N domains themselves (data not shown). Based on the C α RMSD of
11 the AdcA_C or AdcA_N domains only, there is no statistically significant difference between the
12 trajectories from the metal-bound and metal-free simulations.

13 14 **Supplementary Text 1B. Modelling DEER distance distributions.**

15 The experimental DEER distributions were modelled using a set of structures generated from five
16 independent 750-ns long MD simulations. Each of these conformations was spin labelled *in silico* by
17 attaching the spin label MTSSL and computing rotamers for the residues T60C, T69C, A73C, T98C,
18 A233C, and A259C. The MTSSL rotamers were computed using the molecular modelling software
19 MMM (1) using ambient temperature and the rotamer library R1A. Distance distributions were then
20 computed for the residue pairs of AdcA_{T60C/T98C}, AdcA_{T60C/A233C}, AdcA_{A73C/A259C}, AdcA_{T98C/A233C},
21 AdcA_{T98C/A259C}. Each rotamer distance distribution $P(r)$ was normalised to unit area and the five
22 distance distributions for each MD conformation were then arranged into a long column and the set
23 of conformations into a matrix **E**. The experimental distance distributions (each normalised to unit
24 area) were arranged into a long column **y** and a fit to the experimental distance distributions was
25 determined according to $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Ec}$ where **c** is a column vector containing the contributions (weightings)
26 of each MD conformation to the modelled distance distribution. Coefficients **c** were determined by

27 minimisation of the objective function $q = \Sigma(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Ec})^2$, subject to the constraint that $\mathbf{c} \geq 0$. This
28 nonnegative linear least-squares problem was solved with using the algorithm described in C. L.
29 Lawson and R. J. Hanson (2) and as implemented in Matlab using the function 'lsqnonneg'. The
30 stability of the solution was checked by cross-validation by removing the structures from each of the
31 five independent MD runs sequentially and examining the conformation space defined by the
32 solution. No significant differences were obtained with respect to the protein conformational space
33 defined by the solution. We additionally examined an algorithm based on an iterative approach
34 described by T. F. Prisner et al. (3) for constructing broad distance distribution from DEER data and
35 the results were again very similar to those obtained from the 'lsqnonneg' algorithm.

36 37 **Supplementary Text 1C. Instrument parameters and data analysis**

38 **Protein crystallization, structure determination, and analyses:** Protein crystals of Zn^{2+} -bound
39 AdcA were obtained in 10% w/v polyethylene glycol (PEG) 20000, 18% v/v PEG monomethyl ether
40 (MME) 550, 0.03 M CaCl_2 , 0.03 M MgCl_2 , and 0.1 M MES/imidazole pH 6.5 at 291 K, with a protein
41 concentration of 10 mg.mL⁻¹ and ZnCl_2 at a 1:10 protein to Zn^{2+} molar ratio, using the hanging-drop
42 vapor-diffusion method. The AdcA_N fragment was crystallized as described before (4) and the AdcA_C
43 fragment was crystallized in 0.1 M sodium acetate, pH 4.5, and 30 % (w/v) PEG MME 5000 at 293
44 K, also using the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method with a protein concentration of 10 mg.mL⁻¹.
45 Prior to data collection, the crystals were flash-cooled by rapid immersion in liquid nitrogen. The
46 diffraction data were collected on a single crystal at the Australian Synchrotron MX beamlines (5, 6).
47 To determine the structure of AdcA and truncated variants, the diffraction data were indexed and
48 integrated using XDS (7), then scaled and merged in Aimless (8). Initial phases were obtained by
49 molecular replacement using Phenix Phaser (9), followed by model building in Phenix.AutoBuild
50 (10). The structures were iteratively refined with Phenix.Refine (11) and adjusted manually in Coot
51 (12). Structure validity was assessed using the Molprobit online server
52 (<http://molprobit.biochem.duke.edu>) (13). Structural analyses (superpositions, metal-ion

coordination and N-/C-terminal domain-crossing angles) were performed in MacPyMOL (Version 1.3 Schrödinger, LLC) and Chimera (14). Data collection, processing, and structure refinement statistics can be found in **Table S1**.

Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy: Surface-exposed, non-conserved positions of AdcA were selected for the introduction of cysteine residues for subsequent labelling. Mutant variants were generated by site-directed mutagenesis (Quikchange Lightning Kit, Agilent Technologies) using primers listed in **Table S3A** and produced in *E. coli* LEMO21(DE3) from their respective expression constructs listed in **Table S3C**. Labelling of the recombinant AdcA-Cys variant isoforms (10 μ M) was achieved by incubation with 100 μ M S-(1-oxyl-2,2,5,5-tetramethyl-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrol-3-yl)methyl methanesulfonothionate (MTSSL; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) in a final volume of 1 mL at 277 K for 24 hours under agitation. Free MTSSL was removed by dialysis (10 kDa MWCO SnakeSkin dialysis tubing; Thermo Fisher Scientific) in 1 L of buffer solution (20 mM MOPS pH 7.2, 100 mM NaCl) at 277 K for 24 h. The dialyzed sample was concentrated to 500 μ L (10 kDa MWCO Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filter Unit; Amicon) and purified by size-exclusion chromatography (Superdex 75 Increase 10/300 column; GE Healthcare Life Sciences). The purified sample was concentrated to 100 μ M (10 kDa MWCO Ultra-4 Centrifugal Filter Unit; Amicon) and the sample loaded into a quartz EPR tube and flash-frozen in liquid N₂ in preparation for EPR measurement.

X-band CW (continuous wave) EPR spectra in solution were measured on a Bruker Elex E540 spectrometer equipped with a Bruker Super High Sensitivity resonator and a N₂ temperature control system (Eurotherm). Measurements were made using a modulation amplitude of 0.2 mT and a modulation frequency of 100 kHz. Four pulse double electron electron resonance (4P DEER) experiments were carried out on a Bruker Elex580 equipped with a Q-band resonator (EN 5107D2, 1.6 mm EPR tubes), a 150 W TWT amplifier (Applied System Engineering Inc., model 187 Ka) and a cryogen free He cryostat (model PT415) held at 55 K. Experiments utilized the detection sub-

79 sequence $\pi/2 - \tau_1 - \pi - \tau_1 - \tau_2 - \pi - \tau_2 - \text{echo}$, with a π pump pulse moved within the first time period
80 ($-\tau_1 - \tau_2 -$). The detection sub-sequence was phase-cycled according to [+x, +x, +x, +; -x, +x, +x,
81 -]. Parameters: $t_{\pi/2} = 12\text{-}16$ ns, $t_{\pi} = 24\text{-}32$ ns, $t_{\pi,\text{ELDOR}} = 16\text{-}20$ ns and $T = 3000$ to 5000 ns. The pump
82 pulse was positioned at the maximum of the nitroxide echo signal and the detection pulse frequency
83 lower, $\Delta\nu = (\nu_{\text{det}} - \nu_{\text{pump}}) = -70$ MHz. Distance distributions were computed from the DEER time
84 traces with the software DeerAnalysis (version 2016) (15) using the Tikhonov regularization option
85 and a regularization parameter in the range $\lambda = 100 - 1000$. *In silico* modelling of the MTSSL spin
86 label rotamer distributions for the various metal-free and Zn^{2+} bound protein conformations were
87 computed using MMM 2018.2. (1).

88

89 **Molecular dynamics simulations:** The crystal structure of Zn^{2+} -bound AdcA was used as the starting
90 structure for all simulations. The loop formed by residues 120-133 is missing in the crystal structure
91 and was modelled based on the loop from the structurally related protein PsaA (16). In the crystal
92 structure, the Zn^{2+} ion is coordinated by His63, His140, His204 and Glu279 in the AdcA_N domain
93 and by His452, His461 and His463 in the AdcA_C domain. Consistent with the crystal structure, the
94 His residues were modelled with a hydrogen atom on the N δ 1 such that the metal is coordinated by
95 N ϵ 2. For all simulations, AdcA was placed in a rectangular box and solvated with water molecules.
96 Charge was neutralized by adding Na^+ ions and additional Na^+ and Cl^- ions were added to obtain a
97 final ionic strength of 150 mM NaCl. For simulations of Zn^{2+} -free AdcA, the setup was identical
98 except that the two Zn^{2+} ions were removed from the crystal structure. The system was energy-
99 minimized using a steepest descent algorithm. The solvent and protein side-chains were relaxed using
100 a 5 ns simulation in which the protein backbone atoms were position-restrained. This was followed
101 by five independent 750 ns simulations for both the Zn-bound and Zn-free system, respectively.

102 All simulations were carried out using the GROMACS package version 5.0.1 (17), in
103 conjunction with the GROMOS 54a7 force field (18) for protein and the simple point charge (SPC)
104 model for water (19). Simulations were carried out under periodic boundary conditions with at least

105 1.5 nm between the protein and the box wall. Non-bonded interactions were described using a twin-
106 range cut-off scheme with a 0.8 nm cut-off for short-range interactions and a 1.4 nm cut-off for long-
107 range interactions. For long-range electrostatic interactions beyond 1.4 nm a reaction field correction
108 was applied using a relative dielectric constant of $\epsilon = 78.5$, which was developed to be used for
109 simulations with GROMACS and the GROMOS force field. The lengths of covalent bonds were
110 constrained using the SHAKE algorithm, while the geometry of water molecules was constrained
111 using the SETTLE algorithm. Simulations were carried out in the NPT ensemble at $T = 298$ K and P
112 $= 1$ bar. The Berendsen thermostat and barostat (20) with coupling constants of 0.1 ps and 0.5 ps were
113 used to maintain the temperature and pressure close to their reference values. For the isotropic
114 pressure coupling, the compressibility was 4.5×10^{-5} bar. Simulations were carried out using a 2-fs
115 time step. Initial velocities were randomly assigned from Maxwellian distributions at 298 K.
116 Configurations were saved every 500 ps for analysis. Analysis was carried out using GROMACS
117 tools. Unless otherwise stated, the five independent simulations for each system were analyzed
118 separately and only the last 250 ns of each trajectory was used for analysis. All images were prepared
119 using VMD (21).

120

121 **smFRET microscopy and ALEX**

122 The smFRET/ALEX technique was adapted from our prior work (22-24). Stochastic labelling of the
123 Cys-AdcA variant AdcA_{A73C/A259C} used the maleimide derivatives of dyes Alexa555 and Alexa647
124 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Purified AdcA_{A73C/A259C}, produced as described above, was first pre-
125 treated with 10 mM DTT for 30 min to fully reduce the cysteine residues. The proteins were then
126 immobilized on Ni²⁺-Sepharose resin (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) and washed with ten column
127 volumes of buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 μ M EDTA) to remove the DTT. The immobilized
128 proteins were treated with a 5-fold excess of dye and incubated overnight at 277 K. Unbound dye was
129 removed by washing the column with twenty column volumes of buffer, followed by elution of the
130 labelled protein with 400 mM imidazole. The labelled proteins were then purified by size-exclusion

131 chromatography (Superdex 200, GE Healthcare Life Sciences) achieving a labelling efficiency of
132 >90%.

133 Labelled AdcA_{A73C/A259C} (25-100 pM) was studied with smFRET/ALEX at room temperature
134 (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 1 μ M EDTA). Microscope cover slides were coated with 1 mg.mL⁻¹ BSA
135 for 30-60 s to prevent protein absorption to glass (no. 1.5H precision cover slides, VWR). All
136 experiments were performed using a bespoke confocal microscope assembly (detailed in F. Husada
137 et al. (22)). Succinctly, two laser-diodes (Coherent Obis) with emission wavelength of 532 and 637
138 nm were directly modulated for alternating periods of 50 μ s and used for confocal excitation. The
139 laser beams were coupled into a single-mode fiber (PM-S405-XP, Thorlabs) and collimated (MB06,
140 Q-Optics/Linos) before entering a water immersion objective (60 \times , NA 1.2, UPlanSAPO 60XO,
141 Olympus). The excitation spot was focused 20 μ m into the solution. Average laser powers were 30
142 μ W at 532 nm (\sim 30 kW/cm²) and 15 μ W at 637 nm (\sim 15 kW/cm²). Excitation and emission light
143 were separated by a dichroic beam splitter (zt532/642rpc, AHF Analysentechnik), which was
144 mounted in an inverse microscope body (IX71, Olympus). Emitted light was focused onto a 50 μ m
145 pinhole and spectrally separated (640DCXR, AHF Analysentechnik) onto two single-photon
146 avalanche diodes (TAU-SPADs-100, Picoquant) with appropriate spectral filtering (donor channel:
147 HC582/75; acceptor channel: Edge Basic 647LP; AHF Analysentechnik). Photon arrival times in
148 each detection channel were registered by an NI-Card (PXI-6602, National Instruments) and
149 processed using custom software implemented in LabView (National Instruments).

150 The three relevant photon streams were analyzed (DA, donor-based acceptor emission; DD,
151 donor-based donor emission; AA, acceptor-based acceptor emission) and assignment is based on the
152 excitation period and detection channel. The apparent FRET efficiency is calculated by
153 $F(\text{DA})/[F(\text{DA})+F(\text{DD})]$ and the Stoichiometry S by $[F(\text{DD})+F(\text{DA})]/[F(\text{DD})+F(\text{DA})+F(\text{AA})]$,
154 where $F(\cdot)$ denotes the summation over all photons within the burst. A dual-color burst search
155 algorithm was used with parameters $M = 15$, $T = 500 \mu\text{s}$ and $L = 25$ as described previously (22). In
156 the final histogram only bursts having >150 photons were further analyzed. Data were binned into

157 FRET histograms (101 x 101 bins) and the selected apparent FRET histograms were analyzed using
158 nonlinear least-square methods as implemented in Origin software; no spectral corrections were done.
159

160 **References**

- 161 1. Polyhach Y, Bordignon E, Jeschke G. 2011. Rotamer libraries of spin labelled cysteines for
162 protein studies. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 13:2356-66.
- 163 2. Lawson CL, Hanson RJ. 1974. Solving Least-Squares Problems. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle
164 River, New Jersey.
- 165 3. Prisner TF, Marko A, Sigurdsson ST. 2015. Conformational dynamics of nucleic acid
166 molecules studied by PELDOR spectroscopy with rigid spin labels. *J Magn Reson* 252:187-
167 98.
- 168 4. Luo Z, Morey JR, McDevitt CA, Kobe B. 2015. Heterogeneous nucleation is required for
169 crystallization of the ZnuA domain of pneumococcal AdcA. *Acta Crystallogr F Struct Biol*
170 *Commun* 71:1459-64.
- 171 5. Cowieson NP, Aragao D, Clift M, Ericsson DJ, Gee C, Harrop SJ, Mudie N, Panjikar S, Price
172 JR, Riboldi-Tunnicliffe A, Williamson R, Caradoc-Davies T. 2015. MX1: a bending-magnet
173 crystallography beamline serving both chemical and macromolecular crystallography
174 communities at the Australian Synchrotron. *J Synchrotron Radiat* 22:187-90.
- 175 6. Aragao D, Aishima J, Cherukuvada H, Clarken R, Clift M, Cowieson NP, Ericsson DJ, Gee
176 CL, Macedo S, Mudie N, Panjikar S, Price JR, Riboldi-Tunnicliffe A, Rostan R, Williamson
177 R, Caradoc-Davies TT. 2018. MX2: a high-flux undulator microfocus beamline serving both
178 the chemical and macromolecular crystallography communities at the Australian Synchrotron.
179 *J Synchrotron Radiat* 25:885-891.
- 180 7. Kabsch W. 2010. Xds. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66:125-32.
- 181 8. Evans PR, Murshudov GN. 2013. How good are my data and what is the resolution? *Acta*
182 *Cryst D* 69:1204-1214.

- 183 9. McCoy AJ, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Adams PD, Winn MD, Storoni LC, Read RJ. 2007. Phaser
184 crystallographic software. *J Appl Crystallogr* 40:658-674.
- 185 10. Terwilliger TC, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Afonine PV, Moriarty NW, Zwart PH, Hung LW,
186 Read RJ, Adams PD. 2008. Iterative model building, structure refinement and density
187 modification with the PHENIX AutoBuild wizard. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 64:61-
188 9.
- 189 11. Afonine PV, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Echols N, Headd JJ, Moriarty NW, Mustyakimov M,
190 Terwilliger TC, Urzhumtsev A, Zwart PH, Adams PD. 2012. Towards automated
191 crystallographic structure refinement with phenix.refine. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*
192 68:352-67.
- 193 12. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. 2010. Features and development of Coot. *Acta*
194 *Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 66:486-501.
- 195 13. Chen VB, Arendall WB, 3rd, Headd JJ, Keedy DA, Immormino RM, Kapral GJ, Murray LW,
196 Richardson JS, Richardson DC. 2010. MolProbity: all-atom structure validation for
197 macromolecular crystallography. *Acta Cryst D* 66:12-21.
- 198 14. Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, Ferrin TE.
199 2004. UCSF Chimera--a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput*
200 *Chem* 25:1605-12.
- 201 15. Jeschke G, Chechik V, Ionita P, Godt A, Zimmermann H, Banham J, Timmel CR, Hilger D,
202 Jung H. 2006. DeerAnalysis2006—a comprehensive software package for analyzing pulsed
203 ELDOR data. *Appl Magn Reson* 30:473–498.
- 204 16. McDevitt CA, Ogunniyi AD, Valkov E, Lawrence MC, Kobe B, McEwan AG, Paton JC.
205 2011. A molecular mechanism for bacterial susceptibility to zinc. *PLoS Pathog* 7:e1002357.
- 206 17. Abraham MJ, Murtola T, Schulz R, Páll S, Smith JC, Hess B, Lindahl E. 2015. GROMACS:
207 High performance molecular simulations through multi-level parallelism from laptops to
208 supercomputers. *SoftwareX* 1-2:19-25.

- 209 18. Schmid N, Eichenberger A, Choutko A, Riniker S, Winger M, Mark A, Gunsteren W. 2011.
210 Definition and testing of the GROMOS force-field versions 54A7 and 54B7. *Eur Biophys J*
211 40:843-856.
- 212 19. Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, Hermans J. 1981. Interaction models for
213 water in relation to protein hydration, p 331-342. *In* Pullman B (ed), *Intermolecular Forces*,
214 vol 14. Springer Netherlands.
- 215 20. Berendsen HJC, Postma JPM, van Gunsteren WF, Dinola A, Haak JR. 1984. Molecular
216 dynamics with coupling to an external bath. *J Chem Phys* 81:3684-3690.
- 217 21. Humphrey W, Dalke A, Schulten K. 1996. VMD: visual molecular dynamics. *J Mol Graph*
218 14:33-8, 27-8.
- 219 22. Husada F, Bountra K, Tassis K, de Boer M, Romano M, Rebuffat S, Beis K, Cordes T. 2018.
220 Conformational dynamics of the ABC transporter McjD seen by single-molecule FRET.
221 *EMBO J* 37.
- 222 23. Jazi AA, Ploetz E, Arizki M, Dhandayuthapani B, Wacławska I, Kramer R, Ziegler C, Cordes
223 T. 2017. Caging and photoactivation in single-molecule Forster resonance energy transfer
224 experiments. *Biochemistry* 56:2031-2041.
- 225 24. Gouridis G, Schuurman-Wolters GK, Ploetz E, Husada F, Vietrov R, de Boer M, Cordes T,
226 Poolman B. 2015. Conformational dynamics in substrate-binding domains influences
227 transport in the ABC importer GlnPQ. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 22:57-64.

228